

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

							W/K
APPLICATION N	ICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			A	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/086	, 327	05/28	/ 9 8	DURETTE		P	19965Y
_					コ	E	EXAMINER
				HM12/0409)		
MOLLIE M. YANG					LUKT	ON D	
		., INC				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
							1
PATENT							4
P 0 B0	X 20	00				1654	•
RAHWAY	NJ.	07065-091	07			DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

04/09/99

Office Action Summary	09 10 86 327	Applicant(s) RETTE		
	Do Lukte	group Art Unit		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	t beneath the correspondence address		
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defection of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	, a reply within the statutory min fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr	nimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.		
Status	20/99			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	00 101			
 ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance ex accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 	cept for formal matters, pro 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 2	osecution as to the merits is closed in 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
(- 20) (- 20)		is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	4, 18-19	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
Claim(s) 5-12 T	is/are rejected.			
(3-	is/are objected to.			
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election		
Application Papers		/ requirement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Povious PTO 049			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	·	d		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	,			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note that is national stage application from the 	s of the priority documents	have been		
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachment(s)				
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap	er No(s)	Interview Summary, PTO-413		
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTC)-948] Other	_	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Office Action Summary

Serial No. 086,327 Art Unit 1654

Applicants' election of Group IV (claims 5-17 and 20) without traverse is acknowledged. (Group V will be rejoined after determination of allowable subject matter). Claims 1-4 and 18-19 are withdrawn from consideration.

*

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 5 is dependent on non-elected claim; the substituent variables should be re-defined in claim 5.

There is a minor typographical error on page 103, line 11. The "5" should be followed by a period, rather than a comma.

*

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this action.

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Voigt

Serial No. 086,327 Art Unit 1654

(Pharmazie 41, 233, 1986).

Voigt teaches compound 4 (page 233) which corresponds to one of applicants' compounds in which the substituent variables correspond as follows:

R1 = beta-naphthyl,

B = C

Z = C

X = COOH

R4 = hydrogen

R5 = para-cyano-benzyl

Thus, the claims are anticipated.

*

The following is a quotation of 35 USC §103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in the Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103, the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made, absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 C.F.R. 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Voigt (*Pharmazie* 41, 233, 1986).

The teachings of the reference are indicated above. The reference does not suggest

preparing a "pharmaceutical composition". However, if the compound were placed in water, the resulting mixture would meet the criteria; if the compound were placed in mineral oil, DMSO, or ethanol (for obtaining spectra), the resulting composition could also be construed as meeting the limitation.

The claim is thus rendered obvious.

Document WO 96/31206 has been stricken from the IDS. Although applicants provided no fewer than eleven copies of the search report, the document itself was not provided.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton [phone number (703)308-3213].

An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

PATENT EXAMINED